

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Table of Contents | IX |
| Tables | XV |
| Figures | XVII |
| Abbreviations | XIX |
| Symbols | XX |
| Chapter I Introduction | 1 |
| 1 Research Problem and Relevance | 1 |
| 1.1 On the Importance of Innovation | 1 |
| 1.2 How to be successful through innovation? | 3 |
| 2 Objectives of Study | 5 |
| 3 Structure of Document..... | 9 |
| Chapter II Literature Review..... | 11 |
| 1 Innovation Strategy..... | 11 |
| 1.1 Definitions | 11 |
| 1.1.1 Definition of Strategy | 11 |
| 1.1.2 Definition of Innovation | 13 |
| 1.1.3 Definition of Innovation Strategy..... | 16 |
| 1.2 Innovation Strategy Typologies and Dimensions..... | 18 |
| 1.2.1 Methodical considerations | 18 |
| 1.2.2 Innovation Strategy Typologies and Dimensions..... | 19 |
| 1.2.3 Innovation Strategy Dimensions of This Study | 23 |
| 1.2.3.1 Innovativeness | 29 |
| 1.2.3.2 Distance to Core Business | 30 |
| 1.2.3.3 Driver of Innovation | 32 |
| 1.2.3.4 Innovation Field Orientation..... | 35 |
| 1.2.3.5 Overview of Four Innovation Strategy Dimensions | 39 |
| 2 Innovation Strategy and Performance | 40 |
| 2.1 Innovation Performance and Firm Performance | 40 |
| 2.1.1 Innovation Performance..... | 40 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.1.2 Innovation and Firm Performance..... | 41 |
| 2.2 Innovation Strategy and Performance..... | 42 |
| 2.2.1 Innovation Strategy and Performance | 42 |
| 2.2.2 Innovativeness and Performance..... | 46 |
| 2.2.3 Distance to Core Business and Performance..... | 50 |
| 2.2.4 Driver of Innovation and Performance..... | 52 |
| 2.2.5 Innovation Field Orientation and Performance | 55 |
| 3 Innovation Strategy and Firm Context..... | 58 |
| 3.1.1 Business Strategy as Contingency | 61 |
| 3.1.2 Environmental Uncertainty as Contingency | 64 |
| Chapter III Research Hypotheses | 69 |
| 1 Conceptual Framework | 69 |
| 2 Hypotheses | 70 |
| 2.1 Success Hypotheses..... | 70 |
| 2.1.1 Hypothesis 1: Innovativeness and Performance..... | 70 |
| 2.1.2 Hypothesis 2: Distance to Core Business and Performance | 73 |
| 2.1.3 Hypothesis 3: Driver of Innovation and Performance..... | 76 |
| 2.1.4 Hypothesis 4: Innovation Field Orientation & Performance | 80 |
| 2.2 Contingency Hypotheses..... | 85 |
| 2.2.1 Business Strategy as Contingency | 85 |
| 2.2.1.1 Hypothesis 5a: Innovativeness, Business Strategy, and Performance..... | 86 |
| 2.2.1.2 Hypothesis 5b: Distance to Core Business, Business Strategy, and Performance | 87 |
| 2.2.1.3 Hypothesis 5c: Driver of Innovation, Business Strategy, and Performance | 88 |
| 2.2.1.4 Hypothesis 5d: Innovation Field Orientation, Business Strategy, and Performance | 89 |
| 2.2.2 Environmental Uncertainty as Contingency | 90 |
| 2.2.2.1 Hypothesis 6a: Innovativeness, Environmental Uncertainty, and Performance..... | 90 |
| 2.2.2.2 Hypothesis 6b: Distance to Core Business, Environmental Uncertainty, and Performance | 92 |
| 2.2.2.3 Hypothesis 6c: Driver of Innovation, Environmental Uncertainty, and Performance..... | 93 |
| 2.2.2.4 Hypothesis 6d: Innovation Field Orientation, Environmental Uncertainty, and Performance | 97 |
| 2.3 Summary of Hypotheses..... | 98 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Chapter IV Empirical Study | 101 |
| 1 Research Design | 101 |
| 2 Sample and Data | 105 |
| 2.1 Sample Frame..... | 105 |
| 2.2 Quantitative Survey | 106 |
| 2.3 Document Analysis | 109 |
| 2.3.1 Methodology | 109 |
| 2.3.2 Comparison with Survey Results and Outcome..... | 112 |
| 2.4 Financial Databases | 115 |
| 3 Operationalization of Constructs..... | 117 |
| 3.1 Methodical Considerations..... | 117 |
| 3.2 Operationalization of Innovation Strategy Variables | 120 |
| 3.2.1 Measure for Innovativeness..... | 120 |
| 3.2.2 Measure for Distance to Core Business..... | 122 |
| 3.2.3 Measure for Driver of Innovation | 124 |
| 3.2.4 Measure for Innovation Field Orientation | 126 |
| 3.3 Operationalization of Performance Variables | 130 |
| 3.3.1 Measure for Innovation Performance..... | 130 |
| 3.3.2 Measures for Firm Performance..... | 131 |
| 3.3.2.1 Tobin's q..... | 132 |
| 3.3.2.2 Total Return on Share Relative to Industry | 133 |
| 3.4 Operationalization of Contingency Variables..... | 134 |
| 3.4.1 Measure for Business Strategy | 134 |
| 3.4.2 Measure for Environmental Uncertainty | 135 |
| 3.5 Operationalization of Control Variables..... | 137 |
| 4 Results | 138 |
| 4.1 Descriptive Statistics | 138 |
| 4.1.1 Demographic Characteristics | 138 |
| 4.1.1.1 Firm Size | 138 |
| 4.1.1.2 Industry Sector..... | 140 |
| 4.1.1.3 R&D Expenditure..... | 141 |
| 4.1.2 Descriptive Results for Innovation Strategy Variables..... | 143 |
| 4.1.2.1 Descriptive Results for Innovativeness | 143 |
| 4.1.2.2 Descriptive Results for Distance to Core Business | 146 |
| 4.1.2.3 Descriptive Results for Driver of Innovation | 148 |
| 4.1.2.4 Descriptive Results for Innovation Field Orientation... | 150 |
| 4.1.3 Descriptive Results for Performance Variables | 154 |
| 4.1.4 Innovation Strategy – Performance Correlations..... | 155 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.1.5 Descriptive Results for Contingency Variables | 156 |
| 4.2 Validation of Measures | 159 |
| 4.2.1 Methodical Considerations | 159 |
| 4.2.2 Validation of Innovation Strategy Variables..... | 161 |
| 4.2.2.1 Validation of Innovativeness | 161 |
| 4.2.2.2 Validation of Distance to Core Business | 162 |
| 4.2.2.3 Validation of Driver of Innovation | 164 |
| 4.2.2.4 Validation of Innovation Field Orientation | 165 |
| 4.2.3 Validation of Innovation Performance..... | 167 |
| 4.2.4 Validation of Contingency Variables | 169 |
| 4.2.4.1 Validation of Business Strategy | 169 |
| 4.2.4.2 Validation of Environmental Uncertainty | 170 |
| 4.2.5 Validation of First-Order Constructs | 171 |
| 4.2.5.1 Validation of Innovation Strategy (1 st Order)..... | 171 |
| 4.2.5.2 Validation of Innovation Performance (1 st Order) | 172 |
| 4.2.5.3 Validation of Contingency Factors (1 st Order) | 173 |
| 4.2.6 Validation of Second-Order Constructs | 174 |
| 4.2.6.1 Validation Innovation Strategy (2 nd Order)..... | 174 |
| 4.3 Testing of Hypotheses | 174 |
| 4.3.1 Methodical Considerations | 175 |
| 4.3.2 Innovation Strategy – Performance Relationship..... | 176 |
| 4.3.2.1 Second Order Innovation Strategy Dimensions | 176 |
| 4.3.2.2 First Order Innovation Strategy Dimension | 179 |
| 4.3.3 Contingencies..... | 181 |
| 4.3.3.1 Moderated Regression Results for Business Strategy . | 181 |
| 4.3.3.2 Moderated Regression Results for Environmental Uncertainty | 183 |
| 4.3.4 Summary of Hypotheses Testing | 185 |
| 4.4 Innovation Field Orientation | 186 |
| Chapter V Discussion & Implications..... | 191 |
| 1 Discussion of Results | 191 |
| 1.1 Which dimensions characterize innovation strategy? | 191 |
| 1.2 To what extent does a relationship exist between innovation strategy and firm-level performance? | 193 |
| 1.2.1 Does a relationship exist between innovativeness and performance? | 194 |
| 1.2.2 Does a relationship exist between distance to core business and performance? | 195 |
| 1.2.3 Does a relationship exist between driver of innovation and performance?..... | 197 |
| 1.2.4 Does a relationship exist between innovation field orientation and performance? | 199 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 1.3 How do contingencies influence the relationship between innovation strategy and firm-level performance?..... | 201 |
| 1.3.1 Does business strategy moderate the relationship between innovation strategy and performance?..... | 201 |
| 1.3.2 Does environmental uncertainty moderate the relationship between innovation strategy and performance?..... | 204 |
| 2 Implications | 206 |
| 2.1 Implications for Innovation Research..... | 206 |
| 2.2 Managerial Implications | 210 |
| 3 Limitations & Outlook | 213 |
| Appendix: Cover Letter and Questionnaire..... | 217 |
| Bibliography | 225 |