

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I: INTRODUCTION	1
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. BASICS OF THE EUROPEAN UNION.....	4
2.1 <i>The Acquis Communautaire</i>	4
2.2 <i>Institutions of the European Union</i>	5
2.2.1 The European Commission.....	5
2.2.2 The Council of the European Union	6
2.2.3 The European Parliament	7
2.3 <i>Decision-Making in the European Union</i>	8
 PART II: A FIRST LOOK AT BREACHES. ON THEIR EXISTENCE, EXPLANATIONS AND THE COURT'S ANSWER.....	 15
3. (WHAT AND WHY) DO MEMBER STATES BREACH?	15
3.1 <i>Breaching what?</i>	15
3.1.1 Treaties, Regulations and Decisions.....	15
3.1.2 Directives.	16
3.1.3 ECJ-Judgements	18
3.2 <i>Who breaches?</i>	19
3.3 <i>Statistics: how many breaches?</i>	21
3.4 <i>Why do Member States breach? Theory and Empirics.</i>	25
3.4.1 Intentional Breaches	27
3.4.1.1 <i>Direct political disagreement: a preliminary test of explanation..</i> 27	
A.) Data collection and bias problems.	28
B.) The tests-results and interpretations	31
3.4.1.2 <i>Indirect political disagreement: log rolling/package dealing and free riding</i>	35
3.4.1.3 <i>Change of political leadership</i>	39
3.4.1.4 <i>Preference building and change</i>	40
3.4.1.5 <i>Ignorance</i>	40

3.4.2 Non-intentional Breaches.....	41
3.4.2.1 <i>Non-intentional "structural" factors</i>	41
A.) Degree of Federalism.....	41
B.) Administrative well-functioning	43
3.4.2.2 <i>Non-intentional inherent factors</i>	43
A.) Vague law	43
B.) Conflicting principles of law	44
3.4.3 Empirical Testing	46
3.4.3.1 <i>Operationalisation of the dependent variable: breaches.</i>	46
3.4.3.2 <i>Operationalisation of the independent variables</i>	46
A.) Direct political disagreement	46
B.) Indirect political disagreement: Logrolling	47
C.) Change in political leadership	49
D.) Preference building and voting ignorance	50
E.) Non-intentional structural factors.....	51
3.4.3.3 <i>Method</i>	52
3.4.3.4 <i>Test Results</i>	55
3.4.3.5 <i>Conclusion</i>	65
4. TREATING BREACHES: THE LAW'S AND THE COURT'S ANSWER.....	67
4.1 <i>Rules of Primary European Law regarding Breaches of European law</i> <i>by the European Community and its Member States. Damage Compensation</i> <i>and Fines before EC-Courts.</i>	67
4.1.1 Recourse for Member States or the Commission against Member States: fines for non-compliance with ECJ-Judgements.....	68
4.1.1.1 <i>Sources of Law</i>	68
4.1.1.2 <i>Responding to breaches: Article 226 Nice Treaty (old 169)</i>	69
4.1.1.3 <i>Growing teeth. The Renewal of Article 228: Penalty Payments</i> <i>for Breaches. (old article 171)</i>	71
4.1.1.4 <i>Member state v. Member state.</i>	79
4.1.2 Recourse for Individuals against the EC: Damage Compensation in Primary Community law.	81
4.1.2.1 <i>Right of Action: Art. 230 Consolidated Treaty (173)</i>	81
4.1.2.2 <i>Right of Compensation: Art. 288 Nice Treaty (215)</i>	82

<i>4.2 ECJ-Jurisdiction: Damages before National Courts: a Recourse for Individuals against Member States. The Introduction of liability of Member States under European Law.....</i>	<i>84</i>
4.2.1 Direct effect and supremacy doctrine, Van Gend&Loos	84
4.2.2 Procedural: Francovich and non-implementation of Directives	85
4.2.3 Substantive: Brasserie du Pêcheur, Factortame and liability for legislative action.....	88
<i>4.3 The Political History of State Liability in the European Union.....</i>	<i>96</i>

PART III: THE AIM OF STATE LIABILITY REGULATION. WHAT ARE WE REALLY LOOKING FOR?.....	103
5. INTRODUCING NORMATIVE LAW AND ECONOMICS: SEARCHING FOR AN AIM OF STATE LIABILITY LAW	103
5.1 Introduction	103
5.2 A First Analogy: Efficient Breaches in Contracts and Breaches of European law.	104
5.2.1 Terminology	104
5.2.2 Dealing with Breached Contracts.....	105
5.2.3 Breaches of European law and lessons of contract law?.....	108
5.3 A Second Analogy: The Economics of Tort Law	112
5.3.1 Traditional Economic Analysis of Tort Law: Reasoning and Goals... ..	113
5.3.2 Introducing the State.....	116
5.3.3 Combining contract law with tort law to understand state liability as a law enforcement instrument.	121
5.3.4 Moving from Ex-post to Ex-ante, or from Enforcement to Compliance.....	123
5.4 A Third Analogy: The Economics of Crime and Punishment.....	127
5.5 Summarising: Is there an economic reasoning in the Private Francovich and Public Art. 226-228 Enforcement?	131
5.6 Concluding: Aim and Economic Sense of Member State Liability in Enacting Community Law.	132

6. ARE BREACHES REALLY THAT BAD? A RATIONALE NOT TO ALLOW BREACHES.....	138
6.1 <i>An Elementary question and aspects of contract law: why do we need enforceability?</i>	138
6.1.1 Kaldor-Hicks efficient agreements	138
6.1.2 Second best solutions.....	140
6.1.3 Inefficient Legislation	140

PART IV: INTRODUCING POSITIVE LAW AND ECONOMICS.

REACHING THE AIM..... 145

7. EVALUATION OF THE CURRENT SITUATION: TWO DISTINCT ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS.	145
---	-----

8. PROCEDURAL ASPECTS. PRIVATE AND PUBLIC ENFORCEMENT: COMPLEMENTS OR SUBSTITUTES?	146
--	-----

8.1 <i>Evaluation of public enforcement</i>	146
8.1.1 What is public enforcement of law and how can it work against a State? The specificity of the European Union.....	147
8.1.2 Borrowing from Criminal Law. Eight Arguments in Favour of Public Enforcement.	150
8.1.2.1 <i>Of Costly Information, Free-riders, Fishermen and Force.</i>	151
8.1.2.2 <i>Rational Private Ignorance and Public Goods</i>	158
8.1.2.3 <i>Indifference and rights vs. interests</i>	162
8.1.3 Problems of the Commission's Public Enforcement.....	164
8.2 <i>Evaluation of private enforcement</i>	166
8.2.1 The European Court of Justice and private enforcement.....	166
8.2.2 Information as a comparative advantage of private enforcement.....	168
8.2.3 The Credibility Problem of the Private Threat: on procedural hurdles, participation restrictions, group action and punitive damages.....	169
8.2.3.1 <i>Procedural critique</i>	169
8.2.3.2 <i>Procedural difficulties and the role of group action in the European Union</i>	171
8.2.3.3 <i>Punitive Damages as a Demand Stimulator</i>	180
8.2.4 Few cases: does it work or not at all??	184

9. SUBSTANTIVE ASPECTS OF PUBLIC ENFORCEMENT: DETERRENCE BY FINES?..... 187

 9.1 *Details on the specificities of art. 226-228*..... 187

 9.2 *The lack of first and second order deterrence by article 228 and proof thereof*..... 190

 9.3 *Law and Economics of crime* 194

 9.4. *Existing public state sanctioning: international economic sanctions* 195

PART V: STATE LIABILITY IN TORTS AS A LAW ENFORCEMENT

INSTRUMENT. DOES IT WORK? 203

10. SUBSTANTIVE ASPECTS OF PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT: DETERRENCE BY DAMAGE COMPENSATION?..... 203

 10.1 *Introduction* 203

 10.2 *Distinct features* 204

 10.2.1 *Different budget restraints*..... 204

 10.2.2 *The Efficacy of Tort Systems in deterrence* 207

 10.3 *A formal Public Choice Approach*..... 208

 10.3.1 *Treaty provisions and regulations: Nontariff Barriers and Free Trade Regulation* 210

 10.3.2 *Transposing Directives: Francovich and the effect on producers' costs*..... 212

 10.3.3. *The Formal Framework for non-tariff barriers* 215

 A. *Decisions under the absence of liability*..... 215

 B. *Introducing member state liability* 222

 10.3.4 *Policy Conclusions*..... 234

 A. *The Status Quo* 234

 B. *Recommendations*..... 236

SUMMARY 241

LIST OF CASES 246

BIBLIOGRAPHY 249