Table of contents

Table of contents

1	Executive Summary	1
2	Introduction	3
3	Research approach	5
4	Desk research results	7
5	Technology transfer survey	15
5.1	General issues	15
5.2	Frequency analyses for companies and university researchers	26
	5.2.1 Frequency analyses for companies	26
	5.2.2 Frequency analyses for university researchers	32
5.3	Bi-variate analyses for companies and university researchers	36
	5.3.1 Companies: What makes companies in- or outsiders?	36
	5.3.2 Companies: Differences between companies having expenditures for universities,	
	companies not having expenditures for but contacts to universities and companies not	
	having contacts to universities	38
	5.3.3 Companies: Differences in technology transfer features of companies with	
	expenditures for universities and companies without expenditures for but contacts to	
	universities	39
	5.3.4 Companies: Differences between companies interested in establishing contacts to	40
	universities and companies not being interested in establishing contacts to universities	40
	5.3.5 Companies: Which companies' characteristics, technology features, barriers, and	41
	motives influence the amount of companies' expenditures for universities? 5.3.6 Companies: Which companies' characteristics, technology features, barriers, and	41
	motives influence companies' frequency in using technology transfer means?	42
	5.3.7 Companies: Which companies' characteristics, technology transfer features, barriers,	72
	and motives influence the expected future development of companies' expenditures for	
	universities?	45
	5.3.8 Companies: Which companies' characteristics and technology transfer features	
	influence companies' assessment of the importance of barriers and motives?	46
	5.3.9 University researchers: Which university researchers' characteristics influence whether	
	or not university researchers are in contact with companies?	50
	5.3.10 University researchers: Which university researchers' characteristics, technology	
	transfer features, barriers and motives influence the number of monthly personal contacts	
	of university researchers with different companies?	51
	5.3.11 University researchers: Which university researchers' characteristics, technology	
	transfer features, barriers and motives influence the amount of time spend by university	
	researchers for collaborations with companies?	52
	5.3.12 University researchers: Which university researchers' characteristics and technology	
	transfer features influence the frequency of use of technology transfer means?	53
	5.3.13 University researchers: Which university researchers' characteristics, technology	
	transfer features, barriers and motives influence benefits of technology transfer perceived	EG
	by university researchers?	56
	5.3.14 University researchers: Which university researchers' characteristics and technology	58
	transfer features influence barriers and motives?	50

X Table of contents

		Companies and university researchers: Assessing the importance of technology	
	trans	sfer barriers and motives from their own point of view and the view of potential partners	65
6	Тур	plogy of companies and university researchers	69
6.1	Αŗ	proach to identify a typology scheme	69
6.2	Ту	pology for companies	72
	6.2.1	Companies with expenditures for universities	75
	6.2.2	Companies without expenditures for but with contacts to universities	84
	6.2.3	Companies not yet having contacts to universities but interested in building up	
	relat	ions	90
	6.2.4	Companies not yet having contacts to universities and not being interested in building	
	up r	elations	93
	6.2.5	Overview of specific characteristics of companies belonging to the different groups	94
6.3	Ту	pology for university researchers	97
		University researchers with contacts to companies	99
		University researchers not yet having contacts to companies but interested in building	
		elations and university researchers not yet having contacts to companies and not	
		rested in building up relations	110
		Overview of specific characteristics of university researchers belonging to the different	
	grou		112
7	Inte	views with company representatives and university researchers	115
7.1	In	terviews with company representatives	115
	7.1.1	Companies with expenditures for universities	115
	7.1.1	.1 Why and how did the company establish contacts to universities?	117
	7.1.1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	119
	7.1.1	.3 Is technology transfer going to change?	120
	7.1.1	.4 Which support services would help to improve technology transfer?	121
		Companies without expenditures for but with contacts to universities	122
	7.1.2	,	124
	7.1.2	, , ,	125
	7.1.2	5. C G G	126
	7.1.2	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	126
		Companies not yet having contacts to universities but interested in building up	
		tions	127
	7.1.3		128
	7.1.3	3,	130
	7.1.3	3,	131
		Companies not yet having contacts to universities and not being interested in building	
	-	elations	133
	7.1.4	, , ,	134
	7.1.4	3, 44	135
		Summary of the interviews with company representatives	135
7.2		terviews with university researchers	135
		University researchers with contacts to companies	136
	7.2.1	,	137
	7.2.1	, , , ,	138
	7.2.1	,	139
	7.2.1	.4 How could respective parties support technology transfer?	140

Table of contents XI

7.2.2 University researchers not yet having contacts to companies but interested in building				
up relations and university researchers not yet having contacts to companies and not being				
interested in building up relations	142			
7.2.2.1 What hinders the start of technology transfer?	143			
7.2.2.2 Why is the interest in technology transfer lacking?	143			
7.2.2.3 What would be objectives for technology transfer?	144			
7.2.2.4 How could respective parties support technology transfer?	144			
7.3 Summary of interviews with university researchers	145			
8 Recommendations for actions	147			
8.1 Companies	147			
8.1.1 Companies with expenditures for universities: Group 1	147			
8.1.2 Companies with expenditures for universities: Group 2	151			
8.1.3 Companies without expenditures for but with contacts to universities: Group 3	154			
8.1.4 Companies without expenditures for but with contacts to universities: Group 4	156			
8.1.5 Companies not yet having contacts to universities but interested in building up				
relations: Group 5	157			
8.1.6 Companies not yet having contacts to universities but interested in building up				
relations: Group 6	159			
8.1.7 Companies not yet having contacts to universities but interested in building up				
relations: Group 7	159			
8.1.8 Companies not yet having contacts to universities and not being interested in building				
up relations: Group 8	160			
8.2 University researchers	161			
8.2.1 University researchers with contacts to companies: Group 1	162			
8.2.2 University researchers with contacts to companies: Group 2	165			
8.2.3 University researchers not yet having contacts to companies but interested in building				
up relations: Group 3	167			
8.2.4 University researchers not yet having contacts to companies and not being interested	168			
in building up relations: Group 4	169			
8.3 Proposal for a technology transfer design model				
9 Technology transfer in Styria: Qualitative similarities and differences				
compared to other studies 17				
10 Discussion and further need for research	181			
References				
Appendix				
· shihaniani				